

# 7, 3 #5

Suppose that  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a ring hom.

Let  $m = \varphi(1, 0)$  and  $n = \varphi(0, 1)$ .

$$\text{Then } m^2 = \varphi(1, 0) \varphi(1, 0) = \varphi(1 \cdot 1, 0 \cdot 0) \\ = \varphi(1, 0) = m.$$

Since  $m^2 = m$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $m = 0$  or  $m = 1$ .

Similarly,  $n^2 = n$  and so  $n = 0$  or  $n = 1$ .

Consider  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{If } a > 1, b > 1, \text{ then } \varphi(a, b) = \varphi(a, 0) + \varphi(0, b) = \\ = \underbrace{\varphi(1, 0) + \dots + \varphi(1, 0)}_{a \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(0, 1) + \dots + \varphi(0, 1)}_{b \text{ times}}$$

$$= a \varphi(1, 0) + b \varphi(0, 1) = am + bn.$$

$$\text{If } a < 1, b < 1, \text{ then } \varphi(a, b) = \varphi(a, 0) + \varphi(0, b) \\ = \underbrace{\varphi(-1, 0) + \dots + \varphi(-1, 0)}_{-a \text{ times}} + \underbrace{\varphi(0, -1) + \dots + \varphi(0, -1)}_{-b \text{ times}}$$

$$= -a [\varphi(-1, 0)] - b \varphi(0, -1)$$

$$= -a [-\varphi(1, 0)] - b [-\varphi(0, 1)] = am + bn.$$

You can show that for all cases that  $\varphi(a,b) = am + bn$ .

So, given that  $m=0,1$ , and  $n=0,1$  are the only possibilities we get these possibilities for  $\varphi$ :

$$\varphi(a,b) = 0, \quad \varphi(a,b) = a, \quad \varphi(a,b) = b, \quad \varphi(a,b) = a+b$$

The last case  ~~$\varphi(a,b) = a+b$~~  is not a homomorphism since for example

$$\varphi((2,1)(3,0)) = \varphi(6,0) = 6+0 = 6$$

but

$$\varphi(2,1)\varphi(3,0) = (2+1)(3+0) = 9.$$

The other three are ring homomorphisms!

$$\varphi(a,b) = 0 \quad \forall (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\varphi(a,b) = a$$

$$\varphi(a,b) = b$$

You can verify this

Ex: Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be  $\varphi(a,b) = a$   
 $\varphi((a,b) + (x,y)) = \varphi(a+x, b+y) = a+x$   
 $= \varphi(a,b) + \varphi(x,y)$

and  $\varphi((a,b)(x,y)) = \varphi(ax, by) = ax = \varphi(a,b)\varphi(x,y)$ .