

1.6

(1) (a) Prove by induction on  $n$ . If  $n=1$ , then  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$ . Assume  $\varphi(x^k) = [\varphi(x)]^k$  for some integer  $k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \varphi(x^{k+1}) &= \varphi(x^k x) = \varphi(x^k) \varphi(x) = \\ &= \varphi(x)^k \varphi(x) = [\varphi(x)]^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

↑  
by induction hypothesis

↑  
since  $\varphi$  is a hom

Lemma:  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ . proof:  $\varphi(1_G) = \varphi(1_G 1_G) = \varphi(1_G) \varphi(1_G)$ . Thus,  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad \varphi(x^{-1}) \varphi(x) &= \varphi(x^{-1} x) = \varphi(1_G) = 1_H \\ \varphi(x) \varphi(x^{-1}) &= \varphi(x x^{-1}) = \varphi(1_G) = 1_H \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(x)^{-1}$ .

So, if  $n < 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x^n) &= \varphi((x^{-1})^{-n}) = \varphi(x^{-1})^{-n} \\ &= (\varphi(x)^{-1})^{-n} = \varphi(x)^n \end{aligned}$$

1.6

(3) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $G$  is abelian. Let  $x, y \in H$ .  
Since  $\varphi$  is onto there exist  $a, b \in G$   
with  $\varphi(a) = x$  and  $\varphi(b) = y$ . Since  
 $G$  is abelian  $ab = ba$ . Thus,  
$$xy = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) = \varphi(ab) = \varphi(ba)$$
$$= \varphi(b)\varphi(a) = yx.$$

So,  $H$  is abelian.

~~( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $H$  is abelian.~~

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Lemma:  $\varphi^{-1}: H \rightarrow G$  is a  
homomorphism. (We needed isomorphism so  $\varphi^{-1}$   
exists)  
proof: Let  $a, b \in H$ . Since  $\varphi$  is onto  
there exist  $x, y \in G$  with  $\varphi(x) = a$   
and  $\varphi(y) = b$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism  
 $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = ab$ . By definition of  
 $\varphi^{-1}$ , we have  $\varphi^{-1}(x)\varphi^{-1}(y) = ab = \varphi^{-1}(xy)$ .  $\square$

Now apply the lemma to  $\varphi^{-1}: H \rightarrow G$  to  
get that if  $H$  is abelian, then  $G$  is abelian.

(1,6)  
④ Suppose  $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  was an isomorphism. By the lemma for hw #1(b) solution,  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Also,

$$\varphi(-1)^2 = \varphi((-1)^2) = \varphi(1) = 1. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$\varphi(-1) = \pm 1 \text{ (since it solves } x^2 = 1 \text{ where } x \in \mathbb{R}\text{).}$$

$$\text{Since } \varphi \text{ is 1-1, } \varphi(-1) = -1.$$

$$\text{Also, } \varphi(i)^4 = \varphi(i^4) = \varphi(1) = 1.$$

Thus,  $\varphi(i) = \pm 1$ . By  $\varphi$  is 1-1 so this is a contradiction. So, no such  $\varphi$  exists.

1.6

⑤  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, hence there can be no 1-1 and onto function between them.

⑥  $\mathbb{Z}$  is cyclic. The following lemma will do the trick if you show that  $G \cong H$  isomorphic implies that  $G$  cyclic iff  $H$  cyclic.

Lemma:  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not cyclic.

proof: Let  $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$  where

$\frac{m}{n} \neq 0$ . Then,

$$\langle \frac{m}{n} \rangle = \left\{ \dots, -\frac{3m}{n}, -\frac{2m}{n}, -\frac{m}{n}, 0, \frac{m}{n}, \frac{2m}{n}, \frac{3m}{n}, \dots \right\}.$$

Note that  $\frac{m}{2n} \notin \langle \frac{m}{n} \rangle$  but  $\frac{m}{2n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{Q} \neq \langle \frac{m}{n} \rangle$ . Thus, no element from  $\mathbb{Q}$  generates all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . 

(1.6)

(15)  $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $\pi((x, y)) = x$

Let  $(x, y), (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi[(x, y) + (a, b)] &= \pi((x+a, y+b)) = x+a \\ &= \pi((x, y)) + \pi((a, b)).\end{aligned}$$

So,  $\pi$  is a hom.

$$\ker(\pi) = \{(x, y) \mid \pi((x, y)) = 0\}.$$

$$\text{So, } \ker(\pi) = \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

which is the  $y$ -axis.