

**Department of Mathematics
California State University, Los Angeles**

Master's Degree Comprehensive Examination

**NUMERICAL ANALYSIS
FALL 2010**

Instructions: Do **2** problems from Part **A** AND **2** problems from Part **B**

PART A (Do two problems)

A-1 Consider the following elliptic boundary-value problem in the region $D = \{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{xx} + u_{yy} &= 0 & 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1 \\ u(0, y) &= -y^2, \quad u(1, y) = 1 - y^2, & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ u(x, 0) &= x^2, \quad u(x, 1) = x^2 - 1, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

- a. Show that $u(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$ is an exact solution of this boundary-value problem. [5%]
- b. What are the maximum and minimum values achieved by the solution, u , to the given boundary-value problem in the region D ? At what points (x, y) do they occur? [4%]
- c. With $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1/3$, use the usual five-point difference scheme for approximating the given PDE to obtain a system of linear equations for solving this problem. Express this system in the form $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}$, where \mathbf{A} is a 4×4 matrix. [12%]
- d. Explain why the solution to your difference approximation in *part c* is unique. [4%]

A-2 Consider the following difference approximation to

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= cu_{xx} \quad (\text{where } c > 0) & 0 < x < 1, \quad t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) &= x(1-x) & 0 < x < 1 \\ u(0, t) &= 0, \quad u(1, t) = 0 & t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{u_{i,j+1} - u_{i,j}}{k} = c \left[\frac{u_{i-1,j+1} - 2u_{i,j+1} + u_{i+1,j+1}}{h^2} \right], \quad \text{where } u_{i,j} = u(ih, jk)$$

- Is this an explicit or implicit scheme? [2%]
- If this scheme is written as $B\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = C\mathbf{u}_j$, where $\mathbf{u}_j = (u_{1,j}, u_{2,j}, \dots, u_{N-1,j})$, taking $r = k/h^2$, determine the matrices B and C. [8%]
- Use the Neumann (Fourier) method to determine all values of $r = k/h^2$ for which this scheme is stable. [12%]
- Assume that this scheme is consistent with the given PDE. Is the scheme convergent? Why or why not? [3%]

A-3 Given the hyperbolic initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - 9u_{xx} = 0, & (-\infty \leq x \leq \infty, t > 0) \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = g(x), & (-\infty \leq x \leq \infty) \end{cases}$$

where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are given continuous functions.

- Derive an explicit finite difference scheme with $u_{i,j} = u(ih, jk)$ ($h = \Delta x$, $k = \Delta t$, and taking $r = k/h$), solved for $u_{i,j+1}$, for obtaining approximate solutions to this problem. Explain how to use this scheme to compute values along the "first row"; that is, when $t = k$. [12%]
- Find the characteristic curves of the given PDE through the point $(0, 1/2)$. [6%]
- State the Courant-Friedrichs-Levy (C.F.L) condition. What values of $r = k/h$ will ensure that the C.F.L condition will be satisfied for your scheme? If r is less than this value, what conclusion can you draw concerning your scheme? [7%]

PART B (Do two problems)

B-1 Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$, where a, b, c are real numbers with $a > 0, c > 0$.

- a. Find the spectral radius of the Jacobi iteration matrix for A . [8%]
- b. Using the results of part **a**, give conditions on a, b , and c that ensure that Jacobi iteration will converge for the linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ (\mathbf{b} arbitrary). [3%]
- c. Give necessary and sufficient conditions on a, b , and c that ensure that the matrix A is diagonally dominant. [3%]
- d. Show that A is positive definite if and only if $ac - b^2 > 0$. [5%]
- e. Is each statement *true* or *false* for this matrix A ? [3% each]
 - i. If A is diagonally dominant, then it is positive definite.
 - ii. If A is positive definite, then it is diagonally dominant.

B-2 a. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 7 & 7 \\ -2 & -7 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

- Find the LU decomposition of A , $A = LU$, where L is unit lower-triangular and U is upper-triangular. [8%]
- b. Use your result from part **a** to find the LDU factorization of A , where L is unit lower-triangular, D is diagonal, and U is unit upper-triangular. [3%]
 - c. Let B be an $n \times n$ matrix and suppose we have obtained the LU factorization of B . Determine the number of multiplications / divisions it takes to solve $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$ by backward substitution, where \mathbf{c} is an arbitrary n -vector. [6%]
 - d. Let B be an $n \times n$ matrix. Show that if B can be factored as $B = LU$, where L is unit lower-triangular and U is upper-triangular, then this factorization is unique. [8%]

B-3 The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ has eigenvalues 2 and 4 and corresponding

eigenvectors $[s \ -s]^T$ and $[s \ s]^T$, respectively, where $s \neq 0$.

- a. Apply *two* iterations of the Power Method to the matrix A with initial vector $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = [1, 0]^T$ to obtain $\mathbf{x}^{(2)}$, an approximation to the eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue 4. [6%]
- b. Will the Power Method converge in this case? Explain why or why not. [4%]
- c. Give an example of an initial vector for which the Power Method will *not* converge. [3%]
- d. Obtain the QR factorization of the matrix A . [6%]
- e. Obtain the first iterate in the QR method for A . [6%]