

# Avoidance of Partially Ordered Patterns in Compositions

**Silvia Heubach**

Department of Mathematics  
California State University Los Angeles

joint work with

**Sergey Kitaev and Toufik Mansour**

## Background

- **Permutations** avoiding a **permutation pattern**
- **Permutations** avoiding more **general patterns** or **set of patterns**
- **Words** avoiding more **general patterns** or **set of patterns**
- **Compositions** enumerated according to **rises, levels and drops**  
(= 2-letter patterns)
- **Compositions** avoiding **3-letter patterns**
- **Compositions** enumerated according to **segmented partially ordered (generalized) patterns = POPs**

⇒ **Compositions** avoiding **POPs**

## Things to come ...

- Definitions
- Recursion for generating function of POP-avoiding compositions
- Results for shuffle patterns and **multi-patterns**
- Result on maximum number of non-overlapping POPs in a composition

## Notation and Definitions

- $\mathbb{N}$  = set of all positive integers
- $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$  ordered subset of  $\mathbb{N}$
- $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_m =$  **composition of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$**  with  $m$  parts where  

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i = n$$
- $[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ;  $[k]^n =$   
 set of all **words of length  $n$**  over  $[k]$
- **Generalized pattern**  $\tau =$  word in  $[\ell]^k$  that contains each letter from  $[\ell]$ , possibly with repetitions and dashes
- **Classical pattern** = pattern with no adjacency requirement
- **Consecutive** or **segmented pattern** = pattern with no dashes

**1234**

**1-23-4**

**1-2-3-4**

## Notation and Definitions

- $\mathbf{C}_n^A$  ( $\mathbf{C}_{n;m}^A$ ) = the set of all compositions of  $n$  with parts in  $A$  ( $m$  parts in  $A$ )
- $\sigma \in C_n^A$  ( $C_{n;m}^A$ ) **contains**  $\tau$  if  $\sigma$  contains a subsequence isomorphic to  $\tau$ . Otherwise,  $\sigma$  **avoids**  $\tau$  and we write  $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_n^A(\tau)$  ( $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{n;m}^A(\tau)$ )

**241874** contains five occurrences of **1-32**

**241874** avoids **312**

- A **POP**  $\tau$  is a word consisting of letters from a partially ordered alphabet  $\mathcal{T}$
- If letters  $a$  and  $b$  are incomparable in a POP  $\tau$ , then the relative size of the letters in  $\sigma$  corresponding to  $a$  and  $b$  is unimportant in an occurrence of  $\tau$  in  $\sigma$ .

Note that comparable letters have the same number of primes.

### Example

- Let  $\mathcal{T} = \{1', 1'', 2''\}$  with the only relation  $1'' < 2''$ . Then **113425** contains three occurrences of  $1'1''2''$  and seven occurrences of  $1'-1''2''$ 
  - **113425** , **113425**, **113425**
  - **11 3 425**, **1134 25**, **1 134 25**, **11 34 25**

## More Definitions and Notation

- A composition  $\sigma$  **quasi-avoids** a consecutive pattern  $\tau$  if  $\sigma$  has exactly **one** occurrence of  $\tau$  and the occurrence consists of the  $|\tau|$  **rightmost parts** in  $\sigma$

**4112234** quasi-avoids **1123**

**5223411** and **1123346** do **not** quasi-avoid **1123**

- Generating functions
  - $\mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{0}} |\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{A}}(\tau)| \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}}$
  - $\mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{m}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} |C_{n;m}^{\mathbf{A}}(\tau)| x^n$
  - $\mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} C_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(x; m) y^m = \sum_{n, m \geq 0} |C_{n;m}^{\mathbf{A}}(\tau)| x^n y^m$
  - $\mathbf{D}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) =$  gf for the number of compositions in  $C_{n;m}^{\mathbf{A}}$  that quasi-avoid  $\tau$

## General Results

**Lemma 1:** Let  $\tau$  be a consecutive pattern. Then

$$\mathbf{D}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \left( \mathbf{y} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{1} \right).$$

**Theorem 2:** Suppose  $\tau = \tau_0 - \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is an arbitrary POP, and the letters of  $\tau_0$  are incomparable to the letters of  $\phi$ . Then for all  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{C}_{\tau_0}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{D}_{\tau_0}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{C}_{\phi}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

We will apply this results for two types of patterns: shuffle patterns and **multi-patterns**.



Proof of Theorem 2: To show:

$$\mathbf{C}_\tau^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{C}_{\tau_0}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{D}_{\tau_0}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\mathbf{C}_\phi^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

Two possible cases:

- $\sigma$  avoids  $\tau_0 \Rightarrow C_{\tau_0}^{\mathbf{A}}(x, y)$
- $\sigma$  does not avoid  $\tau_0 \Rightarrow \sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3$  where
  - $\sigma_1\sigma_2$  quasi-avoids the pattern  $\tau_0$
  - $\sigma_2$  is order isomorphic to  $\tau_0$
  - $\sigma_3$  must avoid  $\phi$ $\Rightarrow D_{\tau_0}^{\mathbf{A}}(x, y)C_\phi^{\mathbf{A}}(x, y)$



## Multi-patterns

Let  $\{\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_s\}$  be a set of consecutive patterns.

- $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2\cdots\tau_s$  is a **multi-pattern** if each letter of  $\tau_i$  is incomparable with any letter of  $\tau_j$  for  $i \neq j$
- Simplest non-trivial multi-pattern is  $\Phi = 1' - 1''2''$ .

In this case we can derive the generating function directly:

- First letter can be any of the  $k$  letters in  $A$
- All other letters have to be in non-increasing order

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1'-1''2''}^A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \mathbf{1} + \left( \mathbf{y} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \right) \prod_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{y})^i \right) \\ &= \mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathbf{y} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}}{\prod_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{y})}. \end{aligned}$$

## General Results for Multi-Patterns

**Theorem 3:** let  $\tau = \tau_1\text{-}\tau_2\text{-}\dots\text{-}\tau_s$  be a multi-pattern. Then

$$C_{\tau}^A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j=1}^s C_{\tau_j}^A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \left[ \left( y \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{1} \right) C_{\tau_i}^A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{1} \right].$$

### Example:

Let  $\tau = \tau_1\text{-}\tau_2\text{-}\dots\text{-}\tau_s$  be a multi-pattern such that  $\tau_j$  is equal to either 12 or 21, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Since

$C_{12}^A(x, y) = C_{21}^A(x, y) = \frac{1}{\prod_{a \in A} (1 - x^a y)}$ , we get

$$C_{\tau}^A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\mathbf{1} - \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{y \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{1}}{\prod_{\mathbf{a} \in A} (1 - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{y})} \right)^s}{\mathbf{1} - y \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

## Equivalence of Patterns

- **Reversal map**  $R(\sigma) = R(\sigma_1\sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k) = \sigma_k\sigma_{k-1} \dots \sigma_1$
- Reversal map  $R$  and identity map  $I$  are called **trivial** bijections of  $C_{n;m}^A$  to itself
- $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are **equivalent**, denoted by  $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$ , if  $|C_{n;m}^A(\tau_1)| = |C_{n;m}^A(\tau_2)|$  for all  $A, m$  and  $n$ .
- $\tau \equiv R(\tau)$  for any pattern  $\tau$
- $\{\tau, R(\tau)\} =$  **symmetry class of  $\tau$**

## Results for Families of Multi-Patterns

**Theorem 4:** Let  $\tau = \tau_0\text{-}\tau_1$  and  $\phi = f_1(\tau_0)\text{-}f_2(\tau_1)$ , where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are any of the trivial bijections. Then  $\tau \equiv \phi$ .

**Theorem 5:** Suppose we have multi-patterns  $\tau = \tau_1\text{-}\tau_2\text{-}\cdots\text{-}\tau_s$  and  $\phi = \phi_1\text{-}\phi_2\text{-}\cdots\text{-}\phi_s$ , where  $\tau_1\tau_2 \dots \tau_s$  is a permutation of  $\phi_1\phi_2 \dots \phi_s$ . Then  $\tau \equiv \phi$ .

## Results for Families of Multi-Patterns

Proof of Theorem 4: Show that  $\tau = \tau_0\text{-}\tau_1 \equiv \tau_0\text{-}f(\tau_1)$ . If  $\sigma$  avoids  $\tau$ , then either

- $\sigma$  has no occurrence of  $\tau_0$ , so  $\sigma$  also avoids  $\tau_0\text{-}f(\tau_1)$
- $\sigma$  can be written as  $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3$ , where  $\sigma_1\sigma_2$  has exactly one occurrence of  $\tau_0$ , namely  $\sigma_2$ . Then  $\sigma_3$  must avoid  $\tau_1$ , so  $f(\sigma_3)$  avoids  $f(\tau_1)$  and  $\sigma_f = \sigma_1\sigma_2f(\sigma_3)$  avoids  $\tau_0\text{-}f(\tau_1)$ .
- Converse also true  $\Rightarrow$  bijection between class of compositions avoiding  $\tau$  and those avoiding  $\tau_0\text{-}f(\tau_1)$ .
- This result and properties of trivial bijections finish proof.

Proof of Theorem 5: By induction.

## Non-Overlapping Occurrences of POPs

- Two occurrences of a pattern  $\tau$  **overlap** if they contain any of the same parts of  $\sigma$
- $\tau$ -**nlap**( $\sigma$ ) = maximum number of non-overlapping occurrences of a consecutive pattern  $\tau$
- **descent** = 21 occurs at position  $i$  if  $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$
- Two descents at positions  $i$  and  $j$  overlap if  $j = i + 1$
- **MND** = maximum number of non-overlapping descents  
$$\text{MND}(\mathbf{333} \mathbf{211}) = 1$$
$$\text{MND}(\mathbf{133} \mathbf{21111} \mathbf{43} \mathbf{211}) = 3$$
- Results on statistic  $\tau$ -nlap( $\sigma$ ) exist for permutations and words

## Non-Overlapping Occurrences of POPs

**Theorem 6:** Let  $\tau$  be a consecutive pattern. Then

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{A}}} t^{\tau\text{-nlap}(\sigma)} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{1} - t \left[ \left( \mathbf{y} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{1} \right) \mathbf{C}_{\tau}^{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{1} \right]},$$

where  $\tau\text{-nlap}(\sigma)$  is the maximum number of non-overlapping occurrences of  $\tau$  in  $\sigma$ .

**Remark:** We only need to know the gf for the number of compositions avoiding  $\tau$ .



Proof: Fix  $s$  and let  $\Phi_s = \tau\text{-}\tau\text{-}\cdots\text{-}\tau$  with  $s$  copies of  $\tau$

- $\sigma$  avoids  $\Phi_s \Rightarrow \sigma$  has at most  $s - 1$  non-overlapping occurrences of  $\tau$
- Compute  $C_{\Phi_{s+1}}^A(x, y)$  from general theorem for multi patterns
- gf for number of compositions with exactly  $s$  non-overlapping copies of  $\tau$  is given by  $C_{\Phi_{s+1}}^A(x, y) - C_{\Phi_s}^A(x, y)$
- Sum over  $s$

**Example:**

- Apply theorem to descent pattern

- $C_{12}^A(x, y) = \frac{1}{\prod_{a \in A} (1 - x^a y)}$

- distribution of  $MND$  is given by

$$\sum_{n, m \geq 0} \sum_{\sigma \in C_{n; m}^A} t^{12\text{-nlap}(\sigma)} x^n y^m$$

$$= \frac{1}{\prod_{a \in A} (1 - x^a y) + t (1 - y \sum_{a \in A} x^a - \prod_{a \in A} (1 - x^a y))}.$$

- For  $A = \{1, 2\}$ , distribution of  $MND$  on the set of compositions of  $n$  with parts in  $A$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{(1 - x)(1 - x^2) - x^3 t} = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{x^{3s}}{(1 - x)^{2s+2} (1 + x)^{s+1}} t^s.$$

Preprint available from my web site at  
[sheubac@calstatela.edu](mailto:sheubac@calstatela.edu)

also at ArXiv (<http://www.arxiv.org/pdf/math.CO/0610030>)

to appear in “Pure Mathematics and Applications”

Thanks!